Access to opportunities and user benefits in public transit performance assessment



Alex Karner, PhD Community & Regional Planning UT-Austin June 3, 2019



U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Transit Administration

How should we assess the equity-related performance impacts of public transit service changes?

Accessibility

Mobility



source: gophillygo.com



source: Anson Stewart (Conveyal)

Chicago-Joliet-Naperville, IL-IN-WI



Univ. Minnesota





Citilabs



Conveyal

How should we assess the equity-related performance impacts of public transit service changes?

Realizations

- Typical "access to opportunities" measures are easily understood for individual travelers
- But unclear how to "roll up" these types of measures into a regional benefit
 - Regional average change in jobs accessible within 90 minutes?
- Multiple data sources are available that give us information about how people are traveling today

Trip characteristics Regional travel survey (multimodal or transit rider)

Logsums Travel demand model (complete or simplified)

Trip characteristics Census Transp. Planning Package

Access to opportunities Travel time skims and opportunities

Population counts/shares Demographics and proximity

Competitive Travel time skims and opportunities Trip characteristics Anonymous location data

User opportunities

Demand agnostic



Two guiding principles



Karel Martens Assoc. Professor, Technion

"The only way forward ... is to explicitly acknowledge the multidimensional nature of accessibility by measuring it in multiple ways."

Current riders' travel patterns should form the core of a meaningful performance analysis

Case study location Houston METRO System Reimagining (2015)



REQUENT NETWORK PROPOSED AUGUST IMPLEMENTATION MAP



After System Reimagining

Before System Reimagining

source: Asakura Robinson, http://www.asakurarobinson.net/

Results *Population counts/shares*

Population within ¼ mile of transit stops

	White	Black	Asian	Latinx	Total
before	387,694	352,023	110,288	701,680	1,551,127
after	388,967	350,430	109,849	688,295	1,536,969
% change	0.33%	-0.45%	-0.40%	-1.91%	-0.91%

Mean headway (minutes)

User benefits	Trip characteristics Regional Interel survey (multimodal or transt rider)	Logsums Travel damand model (complete or simplified)
	Trip characteristics Consus Transp. Planning Package	
Access to opportunities Travel time skims and opportunities	Competitive Travel time skims and opportunities	Trip characteristics Anonymous location data
Population counts/shares Demographics and proximity		
Demand agnostic		Demand aware

	White	Black	Asian	Latinx
before	28.6	31.6	28.6	31.0
after	26.5	30.6	25.6	30.2
% change	-7.1%	-3.3%	-10.4%	-2.7%

Results

Access to opportunities (total jobs < 45 minutes), AM peak



cumulative opportunities within 45 mins. (10,000 jobs) 0 20



Population-weighted means

	total	white	people of color
before	142,713	183,181	129,271
after	125,009	163,641	112,243
% change	-12.4%	-10.7%	-13.2%

40

60



Results

Trip characteristics (based on CTPP commute flows)

	overall		people of color			white			
	before	after	pct.	before	after	pct.	before	after	pct.
Travel time (min)	67.7	69.2	2%	68.9	70.4	2%	59.6	61.1	3%
Walk time (min)	19.5	20.5	5%	19.6	20.7	6%	18.4	19.2	4%
In-vehicle time (min)	39.4	38.7	-2%	40.2	39.4	-2%	34.5	34.4	0%
Wait time (min)	8.8	9.9	13%	9.08	10.3	13%	6.75	7.45	10%
Number of transfers	0.5	0.7	21%	0.57	0.69	21%	0.42	0.49	16%



Results

Trip characteristics (based on rider survey data)



Value added

- Completely automated and reproducible workflows using publicly available (or obtainable) data
- Open source code, complete transparency, flexibility to tailor analysis needs depending on community engagement/agency needs
- Multiple perspectives on performance impacts
- Challenges
 - Some familiarity with basic programming/data wrangling required
 - Allowing for shifts in travel behavior (to come with STOPS)

Looking ahead

- Demonstrate all possible performance measures in three case study areas
- Consider physical accessibility and drive-transit paths
- Create additional guidance documents, code, and data
- Examine non-spatial equity practices
- Create multimodal accessibility guidance across many different use cases under NCHRP 08-121

Acknowledgements

Ram Pendyala, Julie Dunbar, Kaylyn Levine, Louis Alcorn Faith Hall, Ken Cervenka, Jeff Roux, Nazrul Islam, Melissa Foreman

Houston METRO, rabbittransit, Champaign-Urbana MTD

Contact

Alex Karner, PhD Assistant Professor Graduate Program in Community and Regional Planning The University of Texas at Austin alex.karner@utexas.edu Twitter: @AlexKarner Github: @aakarner







U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Transit Administration